

Communication

Chain-Terminus Triggered Chiral Memory in an Optically Inactive 3-Helical Peptide

Naoki Ousaka, Yoshihito Inai, and Reiko Kuroda

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (37), 12266-12267 • DOI: 10.1021/ja805647k • Publication Date (Web): 23 August 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 08/23/2008

Chain-Terminus Triggered Chiral Memory in an Optically Inactive 3₁₀-Helical Peptide

Naoki Ousaka,[†] Yoshihito Inai,[‡] and Reiko Kuroda*,^{†,§}

Japan Science and Technology Agency, ERATO-SORST Kuroda Chiromorphology Team, 4-7-6, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-0041, Japan, Department of Frontier Materials, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan, and Department of Life Sciences, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

Received July 20, 2008; E-mail: ckuroda@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Control of screw sense of helical polymers and oligomers that are composed of an achiral backbone by covalent or noncovalent chiral interactions has been developed mostly in the past two decades.¹ In 1999, Yashima and his co-workers demonstrated that external chiral stimuli induced helical sense in a dynamically optically inactive helical polymer and the induced macromolecular helicity was maintained in a solution for a long period of time after the complete replacement of the chiral molecule with an achiral one ("chiral memory").^{2a} Such a memory system in helical molecules has so far been limited to artificial polymeric backbones (polyacetylene $^{2a-c}$ and polyisocyanide 2d), although there have been many reports on supramolecular systems.³

Herein, we report "chiral memory" of an optically inactive 310helical peptide⁴ which is composed of only achiral amino acids and possesses a chiral recognition site at the N-terminal region with a single intramolecular side-chain cross-linking.

A N-terminal free decapeptide, H- β -Ala- Δ^{Z} Phe-Aib- Δ^{Z} Phe-Api-Aib₂-Api-Aib₂-OMe with the side-chain cross-linking between Api⁵ residues (1) $[\Delta^{Z}Phe = (Z) \cdot \alpha, \beta$ -dehydrophenylalanine, Aib = α -aminoisobutyric acid, Api = 4-aminopiperidine-4-carboxylic acid, OMe = methoxy, was designed based on the following facts. (i) C^{α} -Tetrasubstituted α -amino acids such as Aib and Api residues promote a helical structure strongly.4,5 (ii) Noncovalent multipoint interaction between the N-terminal segment H- β -Ala- Δ^{Z} Phe-Aib-⁶ in 310-helix and an N-protected amino acid preferentially induces a one-handed helix in the achiral peptide chain (termed as a "noncovalent chiral domino effect"^{6a,b,7}).⁸ (iii) Absorption band of Δ^{Z} Phe residues ($\lambda_{max} \sim 280$ nm) is located away from those of the usual solvents and peptide bond, and the residues in every other place enable us to identify induced helicity.^{6,7,9} (iv) The side-chain cross-linking⁹ of Api residues at *i* and i + 3 in a 3₁₀-helix stabilizes the entire structure and decelerates the helix inversion of the optically inactive 310-helical peptide.10c,d



The solution structure of 1 was investigated by a ¹H NMR technique and additionally by computational analysis. Variable temperature ¹H NMR spectra of 1 in $CDCl_3$ are shown in Figure S2. The two amide NH resonances (8.09 and ~9.76 ppm at 20 °C) depend heavily on



Figure 1. Side and top views of the right-handed structure of 1 as energyminimized by the semiempirical MO calculation (AM1 method¹⁴). Hydrogen atoms except for the amino and amide protons were omitted for clarity. Dotted lines represent intramolecular hydrogen bonds.¹⁵

temperature.¹¹ One [Aib(3)] of the two resonances shows a significant downfield shift with decreasing temperature, and the other [Δ^{Z} Phe(2)] becomes sharp at lower temperatures but disappears at higher temperatures.¹¹ Chemical shift of the former NH is sensitive to DMSO d_6 percentage in CDCl₃ solution (Figure S3). These data suggest the lack of intramolecular H-bonding for the two protons.¹³ The structure of 1 optimized by semiempirical MO calculation (AM1 method¹⁴ in MOPAC2007) afforded a typical 310-helical conformation; the averaged $|\phi|/|\Psi|$ torsion angles for Δ^{Z} Phe(2) to Aib(9) were $|50|^{\circ}/|33|^{\circ}$ (Figure 1). Additionally, the side-chain constraint in peptide 1 promotes the 3_{10} -helical structure and destabilizes an α -helix due to severe strain.^{10c}

All the proton signals of the piperidine rings of **1** are very sharp and distributed over a wide chemical-shift range, because the motion of the two piperidine rings is constrained (Figure S2).^{10c} Both methylene protons of $-CH_2-C_6H_4-CH_2-(\sim 3.6-3.8 \text{ ppm})$ in the bridging moiety show diastereotopic splitting. Moreover, N-terminal α , β -methylene protons of the β -Ala residue (~2.4 and 3.0 ppm) are observed individually (Figure S2), as assigned by a 2D TOCSY spectrum (see Figure S4), although they are placed distant from the bridging region. Observation of these diastereotopic protons indicates peptide 1 as a whole exhibits slow helix inversion.

The optically inactive peptide 1 shows no CD signal in achiral media; however, the copresence of Boc-L-Leu-OH (L-2, Boc = tertbutoxycarbonyl) in the chloroform solution induced a split CD pattern around 286 nm as shown in Figure 2A.^{16,17} This is assignable to the Δ^{Z} Phe residues adopting a right-handed helix.^{6,7,9} The mirror image CD corresponding to a left-handed helix was observed in the presence of D-2 (Figure 2A). A small amount (30 μ L) of the chloroform solution containing 1 and L-2 as described above was added to a solution (770 µL) of excess Boc-Aib-OH (3), an achiral guest, at 20 °C, and the time dependence of the CD intensity of 1 at 271 nm was measured (Figure 2B). Interestingly, under a large excess of 3 ([3]/[L-2]] = ca. 445/1), the induced CD signal slowly reduced its intensity and reached an undetectable level only after ca. 20 min (Figure 2B). The apparent binding constant

Japan Science and Technology Agency.

^{*} Nagoya Institute of Technology. * The University of Tokyo.



Figure 2. (A) CD (top) and absorption (bottom) spectra of 1 in the presence of L-2 (blue line) or D-2 (red line) in chloroform at room temperature; [1] = 0.94 mM and [2] = 6.0 mM. $\Delta \epsilon$ and ϵ were expressed with respect to the molar concentration of Δ^{Z} Phe residues. Solutions were left to stand for over 30 min at room temperature prior to measurements to reach an equilibrium state. (B) Time dependence of the CD intensity at 271 nm of 1 in the presence of L-2 and large excess of 3 at 0, 10, 20, and 30 °C; [1] = 3.5×10^{-2} mM, [L-2] = 0.23 mM, [3] = 100 mM, [3]/[L-2] = ca. 445/1.

Table 1. Pseudo Rate Constant (k_{rac}, s^{-1}) and Half-Life Time $(t_{1/2}, min)$ for the Racemization of Complex $1 \cdot 3^a$

temp (°C)	$k_{\rm rac}~({\rm s}^{-1})$	$t_{1/2} (min)^{b}$
0	1.17×10^{-4}	49.3
10	5.46×10^{-4}	10.6
20	2.32×10^{-3}	2.5
30	8.29×10^{-3}	0.7

^a Conditions: in chloroform, $[1] = 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ mM, [L-2] = 0.23mM, [3] = 100 mM, [3]/[L-2] = ca. 445/1. $b t_{1/2}$ (min) = ln $2/(2k_{rac} \cdot$ 60).

 (K_{app}, M^{-1}) of 1 to 3 was estimated to be similar to that of 1 to L-2 by competition experiments.¹⁸ In this condition, contribution of L-2 to the decay of the CD intensity is almost negligible, and the complexation between 1 and 3 $(1 \cdot 3)$ is judged to be complete based on the K_{app} value. Additionally, the rate of guest-guest exchange in the complex is faster than the NMR time scale even at low temperature (-10 °C).^{7c} Thus, there is no attribute of the slow exchange between 1·L-2 and 1·3 complexes to the decay of the CD intensity. Consequently, the racemization of the 1.3 complex obeys pseudofirst-order kinetics in this condition.

The pseudofirst-order rate constants (k_{rac}, s^{-1}) and half-life time for the racemization of the 1.3 complex at several temperatures (0, 10, 20, and 30 °C) were estimated by linear regression analysis for the initial slope ($r^2 = 0.999$) (Table 1). It is surprising that k_{rac} of the $1 \cdot 3$ complex is 10^5 times smaller than the related 3_{10} -helical decapeptide Z-Aib₁₀-OtBu (Z = benzyloxycarbonyl, OtBu = tertbutoxy).¹⁹ Arrhenius and Eyring plots of the kinetic data from Table 1 provide the following thermodynamic parameters (Figure S7), $E_{\rm a} = 97.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}_{20} = 86.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta H^{\ddagger} = 95.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1},$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = 30.0 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. A positive value for the entropy of activation indicates the transition state of the helix reversal process is more disordered than the folded state. The slow process and high barrier of helix inversion originate from the severe strain in the bridging region.²⁰ The obtained parameters suggest that the induced helicity is preserved for ~ 1 week at -30 °C (half-life time).

In summary, we have demonstrated the first example of a "chiral memory" system in a helical oligomer, which is composed of a biological backbone with a single side-chain cross-linking. In this system, noncovalent chiral interaction at the N-terminal region leads to modulation of the original chain chirality, and the induced helix sense preference is stored in the peptide backbone assisted by the side-chain cross-linking. We are currently studying the effects of peptide main-chain length, solvents, and an additional cross-linking on the rate of helix inversion to achieve a complete memory of induced helicity (asymmetric synthesis) in peptide helices.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic procedure, characterization, and spectroscopic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- For reviews: (a) Nolte, R. J. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 23, 11–19. (b) Green, M. M.; Peterson, N. C.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A.; Cook, R.; Lifson, S. Science 1995, 268, 1860–1866. (c) Nakano, T.; Okamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 4013-4038. (d) Fujiki, M. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2001, 22, 539-563. (e) Hill, D. J.; Mio, M. J.; Prince, R. B.; Hughes, T. S.; Moore, J. S. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3893-4011. (f) Cornelissen, J. J. L. M.; Rowan, A. E.; Nolte, R. J. M.; Sommerdijk, N. A. J. M. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 4039–4070. (g) Palmans, A. R. A.; Meijer, E. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8948–8968. (h) Yashima, E.; Maeda, K. Macromolecules 2008, 41, 3–12.
- (a) Yashima, E.; Maeda, K.; Okamoto, Y. Nature 1999, 399, 449-451. (b) Maeda, K.; Morino, K.; Okamoto, Y.; Sato, T.; Yashima, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4329-4342. (c) Miyagawa, T.; Furuko, A.; Maeda, K.; Katagiri, H.; Furusho, Y.; Yashima, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5018–5019. (d) Ishikawa, M.; Maeda, K.; Mitsutsuji, Y.; Yashima, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 732–733.
- (3) (a) Furusho, Y.; Kimura, T.; Mizuno, Y.; Aida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5267–5268. (b) Bellacchio, E.; Lauceri, R.; Gurrieri, S.; Scolaro, L. M.; Romeo, A.; Purrello, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12353-12354. (c) Prins, L. J; Jong, F. D.; Timmerman, P.; Reinhoudt, D. N. Nature 2000, 408, 181–184. (d) Rivera, J. M.; Craig, S. L.; Martín, T.; Rebek, J., Jr Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, *39*, 2130–2132. (e) Lauceri, R.; Raudino, A.; Scolaro, L. M.; Micali, N.; Purrello, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 894-895
- (4) The peptide 310-helix is characterized by three amino acids per turn and the intramolecular hydrogen bonds between i (C=O) and i + 3 (NH): (a) Karle, I. L.; Balaram, P. *Biochemistry* **1990**, *29*, 6747–6756. (b) Toniolo, C.; Benedetti, E. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1991**, *16*, 350–353. (c) Toniolo, C. ; Crisma, M.; Formaggio, F.; Peggion, C. Biopolymers 2001, 60, 396-419.
- (5)(a) Wysong, C. L.; Yokum, T. S.; Morales, G. A.; Gundry, R. L.; McLaughlin, M. L.; Hammer, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7650-7651. (b) Yokum, T. S.; Gauthier, T. J.; Hammer, R. P.; McLaughlin, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1167-1168. (c) Yokum, T. S.; Bursavich, M. G.; Gauthier, T.; Hammer, R. P.; McLaughlin, M. L. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1801 - 1802
- (6) (a) Inai, Y.; Ousaka, N.; Okabe, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8151–8162.
 (b) Inai, Y.; Ousaka, N.; Ookouchi, Y. Biopolymers 2006, 82, 471–481.
 (c) Inai, Y.; Komori, H. Biomacromolecules 2004, 5, 1231–1240.
 (d) Komori, H.; Inai, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4012-4022
- (7) (a) Inai, Y.; Tagawa, K.; Takasu, A.; Hirabayashi, T.; Oshikawa, T.; Yamashita, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 11731–11732. (b) Inai, Y.; Hirano, T. ITE Lett. Batteries, New Technol. Med. 2003, 4, 485-488. (c) Ousaka, N.; Inai, Y.; Okabe, T. Biopolymers 2006, 83, 337-351.
- (8) β -Ala residue rather than Gly is chosen to enhance the binding affinity towards chiral acid.
- Pieroni, O.; Fissi, A.; Pratesi, C.; Temussi, P. A.; Ciardelli, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6338-6340.
- (10) The side-chain cross-linking in the 310-helix: (a) Boal, A. K.; Guryanov, The suc-than closs-mixing in the 310-field. (a) Boat, A. R., Outparlov, I.; Moretto, A.; Crisma, M.; Lanni, E. L.; Toniolo, C.; Grubbs, R. H.; O'Leary, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6986–6987. (b) Schievano, E.; Bisello, A.; Chorev, M.; Bisol, A.; Mammi, S.; Peggion, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2743–2751. (c) Ousaka, N.; Sato, T.; Kuroda, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 463–465. (d) Ousaka, N.; Tani, N.; Sekiya, D.; Kuroda, P. Chem. Concurs 2008, 2004, 2804, 2804. R.; Kuroda, R. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2894-2896.
- (11) Two out of nine amide NH protons could not be observed because of the overlapping with aromatic resonances (see Supporting Information).
- (12)Similar broadening was also observed in N-terminal free peptide possessing Δ^2 Phe residue at the second position from the N-termimus.
- (13) For example: Polese, A.; Formaggio, F.; Crisma, M.; Valle, G.; Toniolo, C.; Bonora, G. M.; Broxterman, Q. B.; Kamphuis, J. Chem.-Eur. J. 1996, 2, 1104–1111.
- (14) Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3902-3909.
- The molecular graphics were drawn with: Thompson, M. A. ArgusLab 4.0.1; (15)Planaria Software LLC: Seattle, WA, 2004 (http://www.arguslab.com).
- (16) The solution stood for over 30 min at room temperature before CD measurement to establish an equilibrium state.
- The apparent binding constant $(K_{\rm app}, M^{-1})$ of 1 for L-2 was estimated to be 2.3 \times 10³ M⁻¹, which is obtained from nonlinear fitting of the (17)corresponding CD data (1:1 binding model^{6a}) (Figure S5).
- (18) Induced CD intensity of 1 with equimolar mixture of L-2 and 3 was about one-half the value as compared with that of 1 with L-2 (Figure S6). Hummel, R.-P.; Toniolo, C.; Jung, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987,
- (19)26, 1150-1152
- (20) In methanol/chloroform (77/3; v/v) mixed solution which prevents interac-tion between 1 and L-2, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of 1 were also obtained; $E_a = 93.4$ kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta G^{\sharp}_{20} = 85.1$ kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 91.1$ kJ mol⁻¹, and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = 20.5$ J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ (Figures S8–S9 and Table S1). These values are comparable to those of the complex 1.3. Thus, there is little effect of guest binding on the rate of helix inversion of 1.

JA805647K